

DMC Data Disclaimer

DMC data are collected to measure disproportionality in the Utah Juvenile Justice System. It helps identify where DMC occurs, at what magnitude and to which racial or ethnic minority group. The data, however, does not explain what causes DMC. While it is public information, the user is strongly advised to consult Utah DMC Coordinator, DMC Data Subcommittee or DMC professional before attempting to interpret or analyze the data. The DMC Subcommittee does not seek to cast blame on any individual or organization for the DMC phenomenon. The DMC Subcommittee, however, seeks collaboration and partnership with stakeholders to further understand the possible contributing factors (to disproportionality), develop strategies for both improvement and intervention at all levels, while reducing disproportionality until it reaches parity. Inappropriate use of DMC data may hinder this effort.

Data Entry Section

AREA REPORTED

State : Utah

County : Statewide

Reporting Period 7/01/2011
through 6/30/2012

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	349,919	274,143	4,718	54,811	6,450	5,428	4,369	0	75,776
2. Juvenile Arrests	21,821	14,791	942	4,997	688		403	0	7,030
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	28,878	17,864	1,227	7,931	332	631	893	0	11,014
4. Cases Diverted	9,165	6,126	320	2,268	123	179	149	0	3,039
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	7,481	4,326	337	2,199	65	153	401	0	3,155
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	19,713	11,738	907	5,663	209	452	744	0	7,975
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	18,194	10,860	819	5,225	187	408	695	0	7,334
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	2,189	1,291	93	664	27	54	60	0	898
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	149	63	12	59	1	6	8	0	86
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	15	3	1	9	0	2	0	0	12

Meets 1% rule for group to be assessed?

Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No

release date: March, 2011

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: See Data Appendix

Item 2-10: See Data Appendix

Juvenile Justice Rates								
	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	54.0	199.7	91.2	106.7		92.2		92.8
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	120.8	130.3	158.7	48.3		221.6		156.7
4. Cases Diverted	34.3	26.1	28.6	37.0	28.4	16.7		27.6
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	24.2	27.5	27.7	19.6	24.2	44.9		28.6
6. Cases Petitioned	65.7	73.9	71.4	63.0	71.6	83.3		72.4
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	92.5	90.3	92.3	89.5	90.3	93.4		92.0
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	11.9	11.4	12.7	14.4	13.2	8.6		12.2
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0.6	1.5	1.1	0.5	1.5	1.2		1.2
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0.0	0.1	0.2		0.4			0.2

Relative Rate Index Compared with : White								
	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.00	3.70	1.69	1.98	**	1.71	*	1.72
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.00	1.08	1.31	0.40	**	1.83	*	1.30
4. Cases Diverted	1.00	0.76	0.83	1.08	0.83	0.49	*	0.80
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.00	1.13	1.14	0.81	1.00	1.85	*	1.18
6. Cases Petitioned	1.00	1.12	1.09	0.96	1.09	1.27	*	1.10
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1.00	0.98	1.00	0.97	0.98	1.01	*	0.99
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1.00	0.96	1.07	1.21	1.11	0.73	*	1.03
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure	1.00	2.53	1.95	**	2.54	1.98	*	2.02
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	**	**	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	

Key:

Statistically significant results:
 Results that are not statistically significant
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis
 Missing data for some element of calculation

Bold font
 Regular font
 *
 **

What Would it Take?								
Assuming all else remained constant, what changes in volume for minority youth required to achieve statistical parity with							White	
Note: results are only displayed if the corresponding RRI value is statistically significant	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
	2. Juvenile Arrests							
3. Refer to Juvenile Court								
4. Cases Diverted								
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention								
6. Cases Petitioned								
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings								
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement								
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities								
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court								

release date: March, 2011

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State : Utah

County : Salt Lake

Reporting Period 7/01/2011
through 6/30/2012

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	117,117	79,329	2,628	27,043	3,896	3,065	1,156	0	37,788
2. Juvenile Arrests	8,906	5,131	554	2,599	501		121	0	3,775
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	11,134	5,497	722	4,053	196	465	201	0	5,637
4. Cases Diverted	3,664	2,017	190	1,203	66	139	49	0	1,647
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	2,366	985	166	1,021	35	101	58	0	1,381
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	7,470	3,480	532	2,850	130	326	152	0	3,990
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	6,686	3,116	466	2,564	113	293	134	0	3,570
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	828	360	45	346	18	44	15	0	468
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	73	24	6	36	1	5	1	0	49
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	12	3	1	7	0	1	0	0	9

Meets 1% rule for group to be assessed?

Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No

release date: March, 2011

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1: See Data Appendix

Item 2-10: See Data Appendix

Juvenile Justice Rates								
	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	64.7	210.8	96.1	128.6		104.7		99.9
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	107.1	130.3	155.9	39.1		166.1		149.3
4. Cases Diverted	36.7	26.3	29.7	33.7	29.9	24.4		29.2
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	17.9	23.0	25.2	17.9	21.7	28.9		24.5
6. Cases Petitioned	63.3	73.7	70.3	66.3	70.1	75.6		70.8
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	89.5	87.6	90.0	86.9	89.9	88.2		89.5
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	11.6	9.7	13.5	15.9	15.0	11.2		13.1
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0.8	1.3	1.4	0.9	1.7	0.7		1.4
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0.1	0.2	0.2		0.3			0.2

Relative Rate Index Compared with : White								
	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.00	3.26	1.49	1.99	**	*	*	1.54
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.00	1.22	1.46	0.37	**	*	*	1.39
4. Cases Diverted	1.00	0.72	0.81	0.92	0.81	*	*	0.80
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.00	1.28	1.41	1.00	1.21	*	*	1.37
6. Cases Petitioned	1.00	1.16	1.11	1.05	1.11	*	*	1.12
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1.00	0.98	1.00	0.97	1.00	*	*	1.00
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1.00	0.84	1.17	1.38	1.30	*	*	1.13
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1.00	1.67	1.82	**	**	*	*	1.78
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	**	**	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	

Key:

- Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
- Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
- Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
- Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
- Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

What Would it Take?								
Assuming all else remained constant, what changes in volume for minority youth required to achieve statistical parity with							White	
Note: results are only displayed if the corresponding RRI value is statistically significant	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests								
3. Refer to Juvenile Court								
4. Cases Diverted								
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention								
6. Cases Petitioned								
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings								
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement								
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities								
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court								

release date: March, 2011

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State : Utah

County : Utah

Reporting Period 7/01/2011

through 6/30/2012

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	74,006	62,713	573	8,422	863	989	446	0	11,293
2. Juvenile Arrests	3,657	2,660	107	790	54		46	0	997
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4,008	2,900	69	912	33	38	56	0	1,108
4. Cases Diverted	1,150	916	22	187	12	3	10	0	234
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1,074	735	32	261	12	13	21	0	339
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	2,858	1,984	47	725	21	35	46	0	874
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	2,641	1,836	44	666	20	34	41	0	805
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	128	97	1	24	2	0	4	0	31
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	13	8	0	4	0	0	1	0	5
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Meets 1% rule for group to be assessed?

Yes No Yes Yes Yes No No

release date: March, 2011

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1. See Data Appendix

Item 2-10: See Data Appendix

Juvenile Justice Rates								
	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	42.4	186.7	93.8	62.6		103.1		88.3
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	109.0	64.5	115.4	61.1		121.7		111.1
4. Cases Diverted	31.6	31.9	20.5	36.4	7.9	17.9		21.1
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	25.3	46.4	28.6	36.4	34.2	37.5		30.6
6. Cases Petitioned	68.4	68.1	79.5	63.6	92.1	82.1		78.9
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	92.5	93.6	91.9	95.2	97.1	89.1		92.1
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	5.3	2.3	3.6	10.0		9.8		3.9
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0.4		0.6			2.4		0.6
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court								

Relative Rate Index Compared with : White								
	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.00	*	2.21	1.48	**	*	*	2.08
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.00	*	1.06	0.56	**	*	*	1.02
4. Cases Diverted	1.00	*	0.65	1.15	**	*	*	0.67
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.00	*	1.13	1.43	1.35	*	*	1.21
6. Cases Petitioned	1.00	*	1.16	0.93	1.35	*	*	1.15
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1.00	*	0.99	**	1.05	*	*	1.00
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1.00	*	0.68	**	**	*	*	0.73
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1.00	*	**	**	**	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	*	**	**	**	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	

Key:

- Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
- Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
- Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
- Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
- Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

What Would it Take?								
Assuming all else remained constant, what changes in volume for minority youth required to achieve statistical parity with							White	
Note: results are only displayed if the corresponding RRI value is statistically significant	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
	2. Juvenile Arrests							
3. Refer to Juvenile Court								
4. Cases Diverted								
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention								
6. Cases Petitioned								
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings								
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement								
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities								
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court								

release date: March, 2011

AREA REPORTED

Data Entry Section

State : Utah

County : Non-Wasatch

Reporting Period 7/01/2011
through 6/30/2012

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	87,781	74,321	541	9,255	626	692	2,346	0	13,460
2. Juvenile Arrests	4,955	3,960	83	647	50		215	0	995
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	8,227	6,040	146	1,359	42	75	565	0	2,187
4. Cases Diverted	2,509	1,998	26	379	18	21	67	0	511
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	2,463	1,638	71	418	9	22	305	0	825
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	5,718	4,042	120	980	24	54	498	0	1,676
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	5,332	3,754	115	918	23	47	475	0	1,578
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	674	483	10	133	5	5	38	0	191
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	34	20	2	6	0	1	5	0	14
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2

Meets 1% rule for group to be assessed?

Yes No Yes No No Yes No

release date: March, 2011

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1. See Data Appendix

Item 2-10: See Data Appendix

Juvenile Justice Rates								
	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	53.3	153.4	69.9	79.9		91.6		73.9
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	152.5	175.9	210.0	84.0		262.8		219.8
4. Cases Diverted	33.1	17.8	27.9	42.9	28.0	11.9		23.4
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	27.1	48.6	30.8	21.4	29.3	54.0		37.7
6. Cases Petitioned	66.9	82.2	72.1	57.1	72.0	88.1		76.6
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	92.9	95.8	93.7	95.8	87.0	95.4		94.2
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	12.9	8.7	14.5	21.7	10.6	8.0		12.1
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0.5	1.7	0.7		2.1	1.1		0.9
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court			0.1		1.9			0.1

Relative Rate Index Compared with : White								
	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.00	*	1.31	*	*	1.72	*	1.39
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.00	*	1.38	*	*	1.72	*	1.44
4. Cases Diverted	1.00	*	0.84	*	*	0.36	*	0.71
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.00	*	1.13	*	*	1.99	*	1.39
6. Cases Petitioned	1.00	*	1.08	*	*	1.32	*	1.15
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1.00	*	1.01	*	*	1.03	*	1.01
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1.00	*	1.13	*	*	0.62	*	0.94
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1.00	*	1.23	*	*	**	*	1.67
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Key:

- Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
- Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
- Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
- Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
- Missing data for some element of calculation: ---

What Would it Take?								
Assuming all else remained constant, what changes in volume for minority youth required to achieve statistical parity with								White
Note: results are only displayed if the corresponding RRI value is statistically significant	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests								
3. Refer to Juvenile Court								
4. Cases Diverted								
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention								
6. Cases Petitioned								
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings								
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement								
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities								
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court								

release date: March, 2011

Utah DMC Data Definitions

FY2012 DMC RRI Data Sources and Methodological Notes
Utah Criminal Justice Center, University of Utah with
Utah Board of Juvenile Justice Disproportionate Minority Contact Data Working Group

1. Population at risk

Data Source: Utah State Office of Education, Data & Statistics, Randy Raphael

Population at risk is as of October 1, 2012 school enrollment. Data were generated for this report on March 5, 2013. The data does not include an estimate of the 3% private school and the 1% home schooled youth. Appropriately 5,750 youth were of “other/mixed” race. The data does, however, include all charter schools.

2. Arrest

Data Source: Mary Ann Curtis of Utah Bureau of Criminal Identification

Arrest data was collected from the Utah Bureau of Criminal Identification (BCI). The Bureau functions under the Utah Department of Public Safety. The Bureau collects data from state and local law enforcement agencies. These agencies use the Uniform Crime Reports (UCR) Program. Reporting to the Bureau is voluntary; a few agencies choose not to submit data. The FY12 data for juvenile arrest rates was 2011 calendar year. Asian and Pacific Islander rates were combined in this dataset. Hispanic rates were subtracted from the White racial category. This assumes all those of Hispanic origin noted their race as White. No “Other/Mixed” Race category was tracked. The total youth arrested includes 0-9 year olds, which consists of 0.56% or 122 arrest of the total arrest age 0-17.

Three through 10: General CARE Methodology

Data sources for Referral (#3) to Transferred to Adult Court (#10) were compiled from the CARE database implemented by the Juvenile Court and Juvenile Justice Services (JJS). All points of contact from CARE data were aggregated on INTAKE DATE (for an “episode-based” analysis), except Detention and Secure Care which were calculated by in/out dates in location assignment and “transferred to adult court” which were counted at the person level.

Beginning in this year’s analysis, Transferred to Adult Court (#10) was calculated at the person rather than episode level. After last year’s data were released, it was suggested that presenting these data as episode based did not make logical sense because, once transferred to the adult system, individuals would no longer have future episodes in juvenile court.

Fiscal Year was selected based on INTAKE DATE for all points of contact except secure detention (#5) and secure correctional facilities (#9) which were selected based on stays overlapping with FY2012 between 7/1/11 and 6/30/12).

Of 32,038 original episodes, one case was removed from the data after it was determined that it was not a referral. Two additional methodological changes were made in this year's data. First, counties not in Utah or that were "Unknown" were deleted from analyses. This resulted in 673 episodes being deleted. Second, age restrictions were implemented. Previously, cases were excluded when age was over 21 at intake. In order to better represent OJJDP guidelines, cases were included only when they were over 10 but less than 18. After the other non-referral, non-Utah county cases had already been removed, the age restriction resulted in a reduction of 99 additional episodes under age 10 and 1,901 age 18 or older. This provided a final episode count of 29,364.

Race/Ethnicity information was missing for 1.7% (486 of 29,364 final episodes) in statewide CARE data.¹ In order to create the categories in the DMC tables, Race and Ethnicity were combined. Youth who were identified as "Latino/Hispanic" in Ethnicity and "White" in Race were flagged as "Hispanic" for the DMC tables. All other categories came from the number of youth reported in the Race groupings.²

3. Referral

Referral to the Court included all INTAKE DECISION codes, *except* the following (which are considered non-delinquency cases or internal court action):

AWE	Adult Warrant Executed
CAD	Case Accepted Another District
CW ONLY	Child Welfare
DTH	Detention Hearing
EEP	Education Enhancement Program
Exparte	Exparte Order
EXX	Warrant Executed
FIL	See File for intake action
FOR	Forwarded to another juvenile court
ICJ	Interstate Compact

¹ In FY12: Broken into 1.4% within Salt Lake County, 1.7% within Weber County, 1.0% within Davis County, 3.0% within Utah County, 5.2% within Cache County, and 2.1% within Non-Wasatch Front Counties

² In FY12, 1.4% of individuals switched reported ethnicity from one episode to another. For these cases, the combined race and ethnicity variable labeled individuals as the reported minority if their other episodes labeled them as white. This left only 34 cases (.17%) with multiple minority designations. An insufficient number of mixed-minority individuals were available to analyze data as a function of this designation. Therefore, in these cases, the combined variable was rounded down alphabetically such that Asian became American Indian if both were present, Black became Asian and Pacific Islander became Black.

IPN	ICJ-Ut Probation Not Accepted
LRE	
REV	Review Hearing Set
SHH	Shelter Hearing
TRH	Transient Returned to Home
VAC	Warrant Vacated
VAW	Adult Warrant Vacated
VOM	Closure for VOMP
Warrant	Warrant
YPA	Youth Parole Authority

4. Diversion

Diversion from the court included the following INTAKE DECISION codes:

Citation	Citation (include CT1 & CT2 in older FY)
FRM	Form Letter Sent to Parents
NJ	Non-Judicial (include all NJ+letter in older FY)
NOA	No Action Taken by Intake
NOF	No Action Taken After Contact
NRS	Alternative Referral Services
OTH	Other Non-Petition Action
INS	Insufficient Facts to Justify*
LOC	Unable to Locate the Referred*
NOJ	No Jurisdiction to Proceed*
PCA	Petition Denied by Prosecutor*
REF	Referred to Another Agency*
RET	Returned to Referral Source*

*These codes were flagged as a separate type of "Dismissal" Diversion for separate internal DMC analyses. They are a small minority of the Diversion cases included in the DMC counts for OJDP.

Diversion was also flagged by the new NJIntakeDecision field in CARE. Values in this field should indicate cases that are originally Diverted, but later Petitioned (usually due to lack of compliance). In these cases, only the later court action (Petitioned) was counted for RRI purposes. However, the NJIntakeDecision Diversion flag has been retained for internal analyses of DMC for Diversion.

5. Detention

Detention was captured from Location Assignment CARE table. Location assignments to locked detention were summed by youth and RRI Category (e.g., White), making sure not to duplicate count moves within detention (e.g., a youth enters detention on 7/1/11, appears to be released on 7/3/11, but re-enters on 7/3/11 and exits again on 7/9/11 – in this instance, only 1 detention placement would be counted). Statewide 1.1% of detention data were missing race/ethnicity information.³

6. Petitioned/Charges Filed

For the first time, this fiscal year’s analysis also evaluated a “Court indicator” flag that was provided from CARE. The flag indicates whether or not a youth appeared in court before a judge/commissioner. This secondary measure was used to check “petitioned” flagged cases. One hundred cases not previously marked as petitioned were appropriately flagged as petitioned using this check.

Petitioned included the following INTAKE DECISION codes:

CIT	Citable Charge Set for Hearing [^]
INF	Information Filed
MOT	Motion Filed
PET	Petition (include PT1, PT2, & PT3 in older FY)
PETREFIL	Petition Refile
OSC	Order to Show Cause*

[^]CIT are citable (Divertable) charges, but they have been set for a hearing with a judge for whatever reason; therefore, they do not qualify as a Diversion for RRI purposes

*OSC’s have also been flagged as their own category for separate internal DMC analyses.

7. Delinquent Findings

Delinquent Findings were all DISPOSITION codes in CARE, *except* the following (which are considered dismissals/not guilty, or cases that were processed non-judicially (counted in Diversion)):

DIS	Dismissed
DPB	Dismissed Plea Bargain
DWP	Dismissed Without Prejudice
NJ	NJ+letter codes that indicate Non-Judicial processing

³ In FY12: Broken into 0.6% within Salt Lake County, 0.5% within Weber County, 0.5% within Davis County, 1.1% within Utah County, 1.4% within Cache County, and 1.2% within Non-Wasatch Front Counties

It should be noted that data were aggregated on Intake Date, so if one charge in that group had one of the previous Disposition Codes, but other charge(s) in the group did not, that episode would be flagged as Delinquent (although some charged within that episode may have been dismissed).

ADJUDICATION DATE in CARE was also examined for overlap with DISPOSITION codes of delinquency.

8. Probation

Probation included the following DISPOSITION codes:

PRO	Probation by probation division
YCP	JJS Community Based placement*
PSS	Probation State Supervision^
PWC	Probation Work Camp^
YSS	DJJ State Supervision ^
GSS	DCFS State Supervision ^

*YCP was included in OJJDP reporting as it is a form of supervision. Separate probation from probation codes vs. JJS Community Based placement flags were created for separate internal DMC analyses.

^Other specialty probation codes (e.g., State Supervision) were usually accompanied by the standard probation (PRO) code. However, when they were not, these codes were still included in the count of probation. Only one probation disposition was counted per youth per episode.

9. Confinement in Secure Correctional Facilities

Secure Confinement was captured from Location Assignment CARE table. Location assignments to secure confinement were summed by youth and RRI Category (e.g., White), making sure not to duplicate count moves within Secure Confinement. Statewide 0.0% (and zero cases) of SC data were missing race/ethnicity information.⁴

10. Transferred to Adult Court

Transfer to Adult Court included the following DISPOSITIONS:

BOD	Bound over to district court
-----	------------------------------

⁴ In FY12: Zero cases (0.0% within Salt Lake County, Weber County, Davis County, Utah County, and Cache County; and 0.0% Non-Wasatch Front Counties).

OCT	Certified to adult court
Direct File	Direct File to adult court

From the intake file, it also included statute 660 (motion to certify); however, this resulted in zero additional cases added to the transfer to adult variable.

Beginning in this year's analysis, Transferred to Adult Court (#10) was calculated as person rather than episode-based. After last year's data were released, it was suggested that presenting these data as episode based did not make logical sense because, once transferred to the adult system, individuals would no longer have future episodes in juvenile court.

Age at incident that resulted in transfer to adult dispositions was examined and determined to be ages 10 to less than 18 for all cases.